

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF C MMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D APPLICANT		ATI	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
7/092,036	09/02/87	PANICALI		D	ABT	87-01
			ا	EXAMINER LEBUVITZ, R		
IULIO A. DE MAMILTON, BR	CONTI, JR. OOK, SMITH	& REYNOLDS		ART U	NIT	PAPER NUMBER
WO MILITIA EXINGTON, M	DR.			185		12
<del>-</del>	•		┛.	DATE MAILE	<b>D</b> : 07	/16/90

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
	is extended to run from the	date of the Final Rejection
	continues to run from the d	
	event however, will the statutory period for	final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
,	fee. The date on which the response, the	by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the tension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR he shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth above.
adé ⋤	petlant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 C	CFR 1.192(a).
Apı pla	plicant's response to the final rejection, file the application in condition for allowance	and July 5 1990 has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to be:
ı. 🗹	The proposed amendments to the claim and	d/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	a.   There is no convincing showing us presented.	nder 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
	b. They raise new issues that would red	quire further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c They raise the issue of new matter. (	See Note).
	d. They are not deemed to place the appeal.	application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	e. They present additional claims without	out cancelling a corresponding number of finalty rejected claims.
	NOTE:	
	non-ellowable claims.	would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the
3. <b>T</b>	the propos	ed amendment  will be will not be entered and the status of the claims in this
3. <b>LE</b> I	application would be as follows:	
	Allowed claims:	
	Claims objected to:	1_25
	The releasion of claims	on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.
	b. The rejection of claims	on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.
4. 🗀	The affidavit, exhibit or request for recons	sideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection.
5. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will not be consideresented.	ered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier
<u>.</u>	he proposed drawing correction has	has not been approved by the examiner.
_		
⊔ o	ither · ·	
		RICHARD A. SCHWARD
		PRIMARY EXAMINER
		ART UNIT JETIST

PTOL-303 (REV. 3-86)